

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/637,891 | CERRINA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | BJ Forman | 1634 | |

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Michelle Manning.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 & 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that "the arrays" recited in step (a) in both Claims 1 and 21 lack proper antecedent basis. The issue needs to be resolved to place the claims in condition for interference. The examiner requested permission to make an examiner's amendment to delete the word "the". Ms Manning agreed to the amendment.

**BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER**